

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2189 of 2023

=====

Ranjeet Kumar Son of- Ramesh Kumar, Resident of Village- Uchla, P.S.-
Barati, District- Katihar.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Katihar.
4. The District Education Officer, Katihar.
5. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Katihar.
6. Sub-Divisional Education Officer, Katihar, District- Katihar.
7. The Block Development Officer, Barari, District- Katihar.
8. The Block Extension Education Officer, Barari, District- Katihar.
9. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj, South Bhandartal, Barari, District- Katihar.
10. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj, South Bhandartak, Barari, District- Katihar.
11. The Vigilance Investigation Bureau through the Superintendent of Police (Teacher Employment Cell), Vigilance, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ashok Kumar Yadav, Advocate
For the Vigilance	:	Ms. Archana Palkar Khopde, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Madhukar Mishra, AC to SC-16

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 31-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned

counsel for the State and learned counsel for Respondent

No. 11.

2. Petitioner in this case had applied for

appointment on the post of Panchayat Teacher in Raj South

Bhandartal under Barari Block of Katihar district against



the post reserved for MBC-I category during the appointment process undertaken in the year 2006. It is his case that that he was the most suitable candidate in MBC (Annexure '1') category but he became shocked and surprised on knowing that six seats are available but his claim had been ignored and one Md. Nisar Alam has been appointed in R.H.K. High School Jotramrai, Barari (Katihar). He claims that since then, the seat is lying vacant.

3. On perusal of the impugned order passed by the learned State Appellate Authority, this Court finds itself in agreement with the reasoning and rationale provided in the impugned order. The 2006 appointment process came to an end in the year 2007 itself and all the panels and waiting list expired. Hence, the Tribunal has rightly concluded that no appointment can be made from an expired panel or waiting list. The vacancy which came into existence in 2020 has to be filled up in the next appointment process according to the rules which apply to the next process.

4. This Court finds that it is in the nature of a frivolous writ application. It is being dismissed with a cost of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) which will be



deposited by the petitioner with the Patna High Court Legal
Services Committee within two weeks.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

tusharika/-

U			
---	--	--	--

