

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9974 of 2016

=====

Raj Kant Kumar son of Late Birendra Singh, Resident of Village and Post-
Mai(Hilsa), P.S- Hilsa, Dist- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Science and Technology Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Additional Secretary, Science and Technology Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary Personnel and Administrative Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
5. The Bihar Public Service Commission, through the Secretary 15th Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Patna.
6. The Joint Secretary Cum Examination Controller, Bihar Public Service Commission, 15th Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Patna
7. The Examination Controller, Bihar Public Service Commission, 15th, Jawaharlal Nehru Marg Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Jyoti Ranjan Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Raghwanand, G.A.-11
		Mr. Prabhat Kumar, AC to GA-11
For the BPSC	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate
		Mr. Nishant Kumar Jha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 18-10-2023 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

*“1.1.For commanding and directing
the respondents to allow the
petitioner to participate in the
examination to be conducted by the
Bihar Public Service Commission,
Patna for the post of Lecturer*



against the Advertisement No. 35/2014.

II. For holding that, petitioner is eligible for participating in the aforesaid examination and he has duly filled the form as per the instruction of the B.P.S.C Patna.

III. For holding that, decision of rejecting the application of the petitioner by the B.P.S.C Patna, on the ground of non-mentioning of Advertisement No. and subject is baseless and arbitrary.

IV. For considering that, at the time of filling application petitioner has duly filled all the columns and also paid the required fees for participating in the examination.

V. For holding that, depriving petitioner from the aforesaid examination on the aforesaid ground would amount to playing with his career, without any substantial reason.



VI. For holding that, rejection of application on such hyper technical ground is not sustainable in the eye of law."

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that that present writ petition has been rendered infructuous with the efflux of time, hence the same be disposed off.

3. At this juncture, the learned counsel for the parties have also pointed out that similar issue has already been dealt with by a coordinate Bench as also by the learned Division Bench of this Court and no relief has been granted to similarly situated candidates.

4. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed off as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

