

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.20 of 2020

In
Letters Patent Appeal No.276 of 2019

=====

Manju Singh, W/O late Chandra Mauleshwar Prasad Narayan Singh, R/O Village- Mahthaud Kataiya, P.S.- Basopatti, District- Madhubani, Presently R/O at Mohan Bhawan, East Boring Canal Road, Patna- 800001.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Madhubani.
4. The Superintendent of Police, Madhubani.
5. The District Fisheries Officer, Madhubani.
6. The Circle Officer, Basopatti Anchal, Basopatti, Madhubani.
7. The S.H.O., Basopatti, Police Station Basopatti, Madhubani.
8. Basopatti Anchal Matasya Jivi Sahyog Samiti through its Secretary, Basopatti, Madhubani.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vagisha Pragya Vacaknavi
Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

4 06-10-2023 The present Civil Review is filed for recalling the order dated 19.12.2019 passed in L.P.A. No. 276 of 2019 with reference to later development, i.e., after filing of the writ petition in the month of June, 2018 to the extent that on 18.07.2018 a new development has taken place, the same is



required to be taken note of in reviewing the order dated 19.12.2019 passed in L.P.A. No. 276 of 2019. The same cannot be adjudicated for the reasons that as on the date of filing writ petition document cited now dated 18.07.2018 was not existing. In that event, petitioner should have filed application for production of additional documents during pendency of the writ petition, which was decided on 29.01.2019. This is evident that petitioner had sufficient time.

2. Further, scope of review petition under Order 47 Rule 1 CPC is limited. In the recent decision in the case of *S. Murali Sundaram vs. Jothibai Kannan and Ors.* reported in **2023 SCC Online SC 185**, Apex Court elaborately considered relevant provisions of law under Order 47 Rule 1 CPC read with Section 114 of CPC. The principles laid down therein suffice to dismiss the present Civil Review petition.

3. Accordingly, present Civil Review petition No. 20 of 2020 stand dismissed.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

GAURAV S./-

U			
---	--	--	--

