

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11061 of 2015

=====

Onam Kumari wife of Mithilesh Kumar, Resident of village- Mirchai Bari,
Ward no. 10, P.O.- Mirchai bari, P.S.- Janki Nagar, Dist.- Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Purnea District, Purnea.
5. The District Magistrate, Purnea District, Purnea.
6. The District Programme Officer, Purnea District, Purnea.
7. The Child Development Project Officer, Banmankhi Dist., Purnea.
8. Gunjan Kumari wife of Mukesh Kumar, Resident of village- Dhamgara, Tola, Mirchai bari, Ward no.10, under Gangapur Gram Panchayat, P.S.- Janki Nagar, P.O.- Mirchai bari, Dist.- Purnea.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nagendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.N.K. Singh- Sc2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 24-08-2023 1. The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following relief:-

*“A. a writ in the nature of certiorari for
quashing the order contained in Memo
no.805 dated 9.6.2015 whereby and
where under the District Programme
Officer, Purnea has cancelled the
selection of the petitioner to the post of*



Sevika under the Child Development Programme of Gram Panchayat Gangapur, Centre Dhamgara tole, Code 67.

B. A writ in the nature of mandamus commanding the concerned respondents to reinstate the petitioner on the post of Aganbari Sevika under the Child Development Programme of Gram Panchayat Gangapur Centre Damgara tole Kendre code no.67.

C. A writ in the nature of mandamus commanding the concerned respondents and restraining them from making any fresh appointment of Anganwari Sevika under the Child Development Programme of Gram Panchayat Gangapur, Centre Dhamgara tole Kendre code no.67 till final adjudication of the matte."

2. The learned counsel for the respondent-State has submitted that the appeal filed by the private respondent no.8 before the Divisional Commissioner, Purnea, has been allowed and she has been directed to be appointed, hence the present writ petition has been rendered



infructuous.

3. In view of the aforesaid, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to challenge the aforesaid order passed by the Divisional Commissioner, Purnea, whereby and whereunder the appeal filed by the respondent no.8 has been allowed. Liberty so sought is granted.

4. The writ petition stands disposed off.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

kanchan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

