

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1989 of 2017

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11871 of 2014

=====

Nagendra Prasad Singh Son of late Ram Chandra Prasad Singh, Resident of Village-Mahuri, Police Station Nalanda, District Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through The District Magistrate, Nalanda, Bihar Sharif Shri Tyag Ranjan
2. Mr. Subodh K Umar, the District Land Acquisition Officer-Cum-Competent Authority, Nalanda, Bihar Sharif
3. Mr. K.K. Singh The Deputy General Manager Technical, Bihar State Road Development Corporation Limited, Pariyojna Karyanawan Ikai, Rajgir, District Nalanda.
4. Shivdani Singh son of late Bachchan Singh alias Bachchu Singh
5. Yogendra Prasad Singh Son of late Ram Anugrah Singh @ Anugrah Singh
6. Santosh Kumar, Son of late Umesh Kumar.
7. Awadhesh Singh Son of late Tunni Singh @ Tunni Lal Singh
8. Ashok Giri Son of Dina Nath Giri

Nos. 4 to 8 Resident of Village-Mahuri, Police Station Silaw, District Nalanda.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :

For the State : Mr. Asif Kalim, AC to AAG 12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 27-09-2023

None appears on behalf of the petitioner.

2. Mr. Asif Kalim, learned counsel for the State, with reference to the averments made in the show cause filed on behalf of the opposite parties no. 1 and 2, submits that in compliance of the order of this Court dated 12.01.2017, all such matters, including the matter related to the petitioner in which



the dispute of title over the acquired lands involved was transferred to the Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Authority, Patna for further consideration on the point of declaration of title and payment of compensation amount. It is also submitted that the compensation amount of the acquired land relating to case no. 01, 02 and 03/2014-15 worth Rs.1,70,18,557/- has also been deposited by the opposite party no. 3 in the court of the concerned competent authority, hence no liability remains pending before the answering opposite parties regarding the claim of the petitioner.

3. In view of the averments made in the show cause as also the submission put forth by the learned counsel for the State, the present contempt petition stands disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to pursue his case pending before the Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Authority.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

U			
---	--	--	--

