

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5624 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-100 Year-2021 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Patna

MD. ABID NASIR Son of Md. Nasir @ Md. Nasir Hakim Resident of
Mohalla- Abarpul, P.S.- Ara Town, Dist- Bhojpur at Ara.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Gazala Firdouse W/o Md. Abid Nasir, D/o Md. Nasim Resident of
Dariyapur, Bari Path, P.S.- Pibhor, District- Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Pramod Rajpati
For the State	:	Mr.Sangeeta Sharma
For the opposite party no.2		Mr. Sanjeet Kumar
		Mr. Ujjawal Bhushan

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

6 01-02-2023 The learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to

remove all the defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter within

one month.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State as well as the learned counsel for the

informant.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the

offences alleged under Sections 498(A), 506, 341, 323 and 34 of

the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition

Act, registered in connection with Patna Mahila P.S.Case No.

100 of 2021.



The petitioner is the husband of the victim, who has lodged FIR, stating therein that her marriage was performed with the petitioner on 12.03.2018 with Islamic rituals and customs. The petitioner and other accused persons tortured her for dowry demand. Her husband brought her to Bangaluru and there also she was tortured. The petitioner and his parents were making pressure upon the victim for payment of Rs. 25,00,000/- (rupees twenty five lacs), so that the loan taken on purchase of a Villa in Banguluru may be liquidated.

For exploring the possibility of amicable resolution of the dispute, the couple were directed along with their respective lawyers to be present.

During conciliation, the informant/wife expressed her willingness to reside with her husband, but the husband flatly refused to keep her without any cogent and convincing reason.

It appears that the matrimonial knot between the couple has worsened due to apathetic and adamant stand of the petitioner.

In view of aforesaid, the petitioner is not entitled for anticipatory bail, which is hereby rejected.

Office shall ensure that all defects are removed by



the petitioner within the stipulated time as provided hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

HR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

