

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1656 of 2022

=====

Birendra Sharma Son of Dharikshan Sharma Resident of Village- Sitalpur
Kothi, P.S.- Dariyapur, District- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principle Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Saran.
3. The Block Development Officer-cum- Election Officer, Dariyapur, District- Saran.
4. The District Officer-cum- District Election Officer, Panchayat Akbarpur, District- Saran.
5. The State Election Commission, through its Special Works Officer, Bihar.
6. Binod Kumar Pandey son of Adbhut Nath Pandey Village- Sitalpur Kothi, P.S.- Dariyapur, District- Saran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Tiwary, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prabhat Ranjan, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 14-09-2023 Heard the parties.

2. Mr. Prabhat Ranjan, learned counsel for the State submits that a counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondent no. 2 to 4 and vide order as contained in Memo No. 5107 dated 25.10.2022, direction has been given to concerned authority to take appropriate action against the respondent no. 6 in terms of Section 125(Ka)(3) of the Bihar Panchayat Raj Act and, as such, the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a



position to refute the submissions made on behalf of the State.

4. Though the matter has been placed under the heading for office notes, but considering the submissions of the learned counsel for the State, the present writ application stands disposed of, having become infructuous.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

U			
---	--	--	--

