

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1591 of 2023

=====

Anshu Kumari, D/o- Sri Manan Singh, resident of Village- Dharmbari,
Safiabad, P.O.- Safiabad, P.S.- Baikunthpur, Gopalganj, District- Gopalganj,
Bihar- 841416.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Punjab National Bank (A Govt of India Enterprises), Human Resource Development Department, through the Managing Director Cum CEO, Plot No.- 4, Sector- 10, Dwarka, New Delhi, 11075.
2. The Zonal Manager, Punjab National Bank (A Govt of India Enterprises), Human Resource Development Department, Zonal Office, 2nd Floor Chanakya Towers, R Block, Patna- 800001.
3. The Chief Manager, Regional Office, Punjab National Bank (A Govt of India Enterprises), Human Resource Development Department, Ujjawal Complex, Chandmari, Motihari, District- East Champaran, 845401.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Jayant Kumar Karn, Advocate
		Mr.Jay Karn, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Mritunjay Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 22-06-2023 The petitioner is an applicant for appointment of peon
in the respondent-Bank.

The prescription regarding educational qualification,
as per advertisement (Annexure-P/1) prescribes an upper limit
and reads as follows:

"12 वीं कक्षा या समकक्ष उत्तीर्ण किंतु निर्दिष्ट तिथि 01.01.2022 को

स्नातक नहीं चाहिए साथ ही अंग्रेजी पढ़ने / लिखने का बुनियादी ज्ञान ।"

Translated in English reads as follows:

"Passed 12th class or equivalent but not



Graduate as on specified date 01.01.2022 as well as basic knowledge of reading/writing English is required."

The admitted position that emerges from pleadings is that the petitioner was a Graduate. She was thus possessing education qualification higher than the upper limit as per advertisement. The petitioner's disqualification in terms of the advertisement under which she made an application, and which have not been challenged, is thus manifest from the pleadings in the writ petition.

No case is made out to invoke the discretionary writ jurisdiction in favour of the petitioner as no right of the petitioner is made out based on pleadings to claim appointment in terms of the advertisement dated 05.03.2022.

Writ petition is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

SUMIT/-

U			
---	--	--	--

