

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20445 of 2023

In
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20930 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-444 Year-2020 Thana- MUZFFARPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Muzaffarpur

-
1. Gayatri Sah, Wife Of Late Binay Kumar Sah Resident Of 64/65 Arwindo Road, Rokhi Cinema Complex, 2nd Floor, Salkia, Ps-Golawari, District-Hawrah
 2. Raj Kishore Gupta, Son Of Kanhaiya Sah Resident Of R K Jewelers, Bekapur, Munger
 3. Vijayeta @ Tiku Soni, Wife Of Dilip Soni Resident Of Maa Gayatri Jewelers, Bekapur, Munger
 4. Dilip Soni, Son Of Late Saryug Prasad Resident Of Maa Gayatri Jewelers, Bekapur, Munger
 5. Bhawna Sah @ Bhawna Chatterji, Wife Of Saurav Chatterji Resident Of Bangur Park, Rishra, West Bengal
 6. Saurav Chatterji, Son Of Susanto Chatterji Resident Of Bangur Park, Rishra, West Bengal

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Puja Kumari
		Mr. Anjani Kumar Jha
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Syed Ehteshamuddin

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 03-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
the petitioners were granted anticipatory bail by order dated
02.11.2022 in Cr. Misc. No.20930 of 2022.

The learned counsel for the petitioners next submits



that in the order dated 02.11.2022, it was recorded that petitioner no.6 is a person with clean antecedent. It is next submitted that the said fact was recorded in view of the pleadings made in Para-3 of Cr. Misc. No.20930 of 2022, when the petitioner no.6 had antecedent of one case which was mentioned at Para-12 of Cr. Misc. No. 20930 of 2022, but the said fact inadvertently could not be placed when the order dated 02.11.2022 was being passed.

The learned counsel for the petitioners next submits that all the petitioners in time surrendered and they were enlarged on anticipatory bail, but the fact that the order dated 02.11.2022 in Cr. Misc. No. 20930 of 2022 records that the petitioner no.6 is a person with clean antecedent, the same should be modified to read as petitioner no.6 has antecedent of one case.

The learned Additional P. P. does not oppose the prayer made by the petitioner.

Considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the order dated 02.11.2022 in Cr. Misc. No. 20930 of 2022 is modified to read as that petitioner no.6 has antecedent of one case.

The order dated 02.11.2022 in Cr. Misc. No. 20930 of



2022 is modified to the extent indicated above.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

