

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6696 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-662 Year-2022 Thana- MUFFASIL District- West Champaran

MD. JAHANGEER ALAM @ MD. JAHANGIR @ GUDDU SON OF
ANWAR HUSSAIN R/O VILL.- BARI TOLA, P.S.- MUFASSIL, DISTT.-
WEST CHAMPARAN

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. SHAHJADI PRAWIN WIFE OF MD. JAHANGIR @ GUDDU R/O BARI
TOLA, P.S.- MUFASSIL, DISTT.- WEST CHAMPARAN

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aditya Nath Jha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Vinod Shanker Modi

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 28-06-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State along with learned counsel for the informant.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Section 498A of the
Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner being the husband has been falsely implicated in the
present case, it is next submitted that the petitioner is a poor
person and thus is not in a position to maintain the children and
the informant.

Learned counsel for the informant rebuts the
submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner and submits



that initially when the matter was taken up on 24.05.2023, a submission was made on behalf of the petitioner that the children will stay with him and the said submission was made based on the pleadings made in the petition, it is next submitted that the said submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner was disputed, accordingly, this Court directed the Superintendent of Police, West Champaran, to get a report from the concerned S.H.O. of the P.S.

Learned counsel for the informant next submits that form perusal of the report submitted by the S.H.O. of the concerned P.S., it would manifest that out of the wedlock three children were born and all the three children are dependent and staying with the informant.

Learned counsel for the informant thus submits that a false submission was made before this Court on the previous occasion.

Considering the submission, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

HarshPandey/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

