

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9377 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-270 Year-2020 Thana- BAJPATTI District- Sitamarhi

=====

Digambar Rai Son Of Dashrath Rai R/O Vill.- Sandhwara, P.S.- Bajpatti,
Distt.- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Mishra

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Nirmala Kumari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 03-05-2023 Heard Ld. counsel for the petitioner and Ld. APP

for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Bajpatti

P. S. Case No. 270 of 2020, registered for the offences

punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and

Excise Act.

As per allegation, 2609.58 litres of liquor was

recovered from four vehicles.

Ld. counsel for the petitioner submits that the

petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this

case. He further submits that nothing has been recovered

from the conscious possession of the petitioner. He also



submits that the petitioner is nowhere connected with the vehicle or the liquor as he was not the driver nor the owner of the vehicle. He further submits that some of the co-accused have been granted bail by different Benches of this Court *vide* orders dated 22.11.2021, 25.06.2021 and 21.10.2021, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 4456 of 2021, Cr. Misc. No. 16062 of 2021 and Cr. Misc. No. 38010 of 2021, respectively.

He further submits that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 24.11.2022.

It has also been stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has earlier been made accused in one more case.

It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one.

However, Ld. APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner for bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this application is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-



named, to be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Ld. Exclusive Excise Court – II, Sitamarhi, in connection with Bajpatti P. S. Case No. 270 of 2020 on the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner will make himself available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.

(ii) The petitioner will undertake that investigation/trial will not get hampered on account of his absence or non-cooperation. He must be available to the police or the court whenever his presence is required.

(iii) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iv) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has criminal antecedents other than the disclosed one, Ld. court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that



the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(v) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, Ld. court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(vi) In case, the petitioner repeats offence of similar nature after enlargement on bail, his bail-bond will be cancelled by the court below.

Ld. counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove all the defects, if any, pointed out by the office within a period of one month and the Registry is directed to issue the certified copy of this order only after removal of office objections.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

skm/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

