

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7344 of 2017

=====

Surya Nath Pandey @ Ganesh Pandey son of Late Raghubansh Pandey,
resident of Village- Badiha, Post Office- Shankarpur, Police Station-
Indrapuri, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Mince and Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Joint Secretary, Mince and Mineral Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Assistant Director, District Mince Office, Rohtas at Sasaram.
5. The District Magistrate cum Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram.
6. The Superintendent of Police, Rohtas at Sasaram.
7. The Additional Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram.
8. The Deputy Collector, Mince Department, Rohtas at Sasaram.
9. The Sub Divisional Officer, Dehari, under District Rohtas.
10. The Circle Officer, Dehari, Under District Rohtas.
11. The Officer-in-Charge, Police Station Indrapuri, Under District Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Nitya Nand Tiwary, Advocate
		Mr. Ramchandra Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sanjeet Kr. Singh, AC to AAG-6
For Mines	:	Mr. Naresh Dixit, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 27-07-2023 Heard Mr. Ramchandra Singh, learned counsel for

the petitioner and the State as also the Spl. P.P. for Mines.

The petitioner has prayed for the following relief:

(i) that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to direct the

respondent authorities to pay appropriate



compensation amount as prayed the representation dated 3.12.2015(Annexure-10 to this writ petition) because petitioner is Raiyat of land in which mining work of withdrawn of Balu/Sand is going on through the respondent authorities without permission of the petitioner;

(ii) the appropriate direction may be issued to stop the settlement for withdrawal of Balu/Sand from the Raiyati land of the petitioner without permission of the petitioner;

(iii) any other reliefs may be allowed in favour of the petitioner, for which the petitioner is duly entitled in accordance with law.

For such grievance, the petitioner should have first approached the Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram.

Considering the facts/grievance of the case, the petitioner is permitted to file a comprehensive representation before the Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram within a period of four weeks from today.

If such representation is preferred within the aforesaid period, the Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram is duty bound to pass an appropriate/reasoned order within three months thereafter.



The writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

