

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.91 of 2022

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17769 of 2018

-
1. Akhil Bhartiya Barh, Sukhar Avam Katav Prit Sangharsh Morcha, through its President Ram Bhajan Singh aged about 68 Years, Male, Son of Late Ram Anuj Rai, Resident of Mohalla Awasthi Ghat, P.O.-Digha, P.S.-Danapur, District-Patna-800012.
 2. State President of Youth-Wing Sanjay Kumar Singh Aged about 45 Years, Male Son of Ram Padarath Singh Resident of Gola Road Bir Kunwar Singh Path, P.S.-Rupaspur, P.O.-Danapur Coant, District-Patna-80503.
 3. Smt Shobha Devi Aged about 48 Years Female, State Secretary, Akhil Bhartiya Barh Sukhar Avam Kataw Pirit Sangharsh Morcha Wife of Yogendr Srivastava Resident of Mohalla-Chitrakut Nagar, P.S.-Danapur District-Patna-800012.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Shri Arun Kumar Singh Chief Secreary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Shri Chaitanya Prasad Principal Secreary Urban Development and Housing Development Bihar, Patna.
3. Shri Vevek Kumar Principal Secreary Revnue and Land Reforms Department, State of Bihar.
4. Shri Gupteshwar Panday Director General of Police, Bihar Patna.
5. Shri Kumar Ravi Collector Cum District Magistrate, Patna.
6. Shri Rohan Kumar Officer Incharge of Rajiv Nagar Police Station Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. P.K. Shahi, Advocate General
	Mr. Sanjiv Kumar, Advocate
	Mr. Amish Kumar, AC to AG

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

5 13-07-2023 Pursuant to earlier order dated 06.07.2023, *Dr.*
Chandrashekhar Singh, District Magistrate, Patna is present in



the Court.

2. The present M.J.C petition is filed for non-compliance of the order dated 06.01.2020 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 17769 of 2018. It is necessary to reproduce the order of this Court.

“We dispose of the writ petition reserving liberty to the petitioners or similarly situated persons to approach the appropriate authorities in accordance with law for release of the monetary compensation, if not so already released.

We are hopeful that as and when any such request is received by the appropriate authorities, the same shall be considered and decided with reasonable dispatch.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.”

3. The petitioners were stated to have approached the concerned authorities and the concerned/competent authority has taken a decision that the petitioners are not entitled to compensation. Such a decision was recorded in the note sheet and it is not in the form of speaking order.

4. Pursuant to our previous order, speaking order has been passed on 08.07.2023. In the light of these development, the present contempt petition stands dropped reserving liberty to the petitioners to assail the order dated 08.07.2023 in the



manner know to the law.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the present *lis* is very old one, therefore, it is necessary to decide the petitioners’ *lis* at the earliest. In this regard, the petitioners are at liberty to make necessary request before the competent forum to decide their matter.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

GAURAV S./-

U			
---	--	--	--

