

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1264 of 2022

=====

Anil Kumar Son of Ram Lakshan Ram Resident of Village- Bhavedpur,
Chandan Nagar, Ward No. 12, Police Station- Riga, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police, Govt. of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, District Sitamarhi.
4. The Superintendent of Police, Sitamarhi.
5. The Sub Divisional Officer, Sadar, Sitamarhi.
6. The Dy. S.P. (Law and Order) Sitamarhi.
7. The Officer in Charge, Riga, Police Station, Sitamarhi.
8. The Circle Officer, Riga, Sitamarhi.
9. Jagdeo Ram Son of Late Manki Ram Resident of Bavedpur Chandan Nagar, Ward No. 12, Police Station- Riga, District- Sitamarhi.
10. Ritesh Ranjan Son of Jagdeo Ram Resident of Village- Bhavedpur Chandan Nagar, Ward No. 12, Police Station- Riga, District- Sitamarhi.
11. Ritik Raj Son of Jagdeo Ram Resident of Village- Bhavedpur Chandan Nagar, Ward No. 12, Police Station- Riga, District- Sitamarhi.
12. Nutan Kumari Wife of Sanatan Kumar Resident of Village- Bhavedpur Chandan Nagar, Ward No. 12, Police Station- Riga, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pramod Kumar Sinha, Advocate Mr. Gopal Krishna, Advocate Mr. Deepak Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sajid Salim Khan (SC-25)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

6 31-01-2023 Heard Mr. Pramod Kumar Sinha, learned counsel

assisted by Mr. Gopal Krishna and Deepak Kumar Singh,

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr.

Sajid Salim Khan, learned SC-25 for the State.

2. The petitioner-Anil Kumar, respondent no. 12-



Nutan Kumari, SHO, Riga and Circle Officer, Riga have appeared in person in the Court.

3. It is informed by the petitioner and respondent no. 12 that mud is deposited in the midst of the road which has obstructed the three feet passage being commonly used by the petitioner and respondent nos. 9 to 12. It is now informed by respondent no. 12 who is present in Court that some mud is illegally deposited by Lalita Devi wife of Nand Kishore Ram in the middle of the road which is causing inconvenience to the inhabitant(who are the petitioner and respondent nos. 9 to 12).

4. The Circle Officer, Riga and SHO, Riga have ensured that they will make proper inquiry into the matter and if it is found that the mud which has illegally been deposited by one Lalita Devi wife of Nand Kishore Ram or any other person in the midst of the road then they will immediately take action to remove the same forthwith.

5. This Court directs the Circle Officer, Riga and the SHO, Riga, if in case no one admits that they have deposited the mud in the midst of the road, then the same is required to be removed at the level of the Circle Officer, Riga with the help of SHO, Riga and for which petitioner along with respondent nos. 9 to 12 are liable to make payment along with the damages



caused and expenses incurred by the Circle Office, Riga.

6. This Court appreciates the effort taken by the Circle Officer, Riga and SHO, Riga in resolving the dispute among the parties.

7. Accordingly, the present writ petition, stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

minu/-

U			
---	--	--	--

