

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.1671 of 2018

1. Daya Shankar Singh, Son of Late Jag Narayan Singh, resident of Village and Post- Khanaithi, P.S.- Kargahar District- Rohtas.
2. Kanchan Devi, Wife of Daya Shankar Singh, resident of Village and Post- Khanaithi, P.S.- Kargahar District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Sonu @ Rabindra Kumar Singh Minor Son of Rama Shankar Singh under guardianship of his mother Punam Devi and Guargian resident of Village and Post- Khanaithi, P.S.- Kargahar District- Rohtas.
2. Punam Devi @ Sangita, Wife of Rama Shankar Singh, resident of Village and Post- Khanaithi, P.S.- Kargahar District- Rohtas.
3. Rama Shankar Singh, Son of Late Jag Narayan Singh, resident of Village and Post- Khanaithi, P.S.- Kargahar District- Rohtas.
4. Mangari Kuer Widow of Late Jag Narayan Singh, resident of Village and Post- Khanaithi, P.S.- Kargahar District- Rohtas.
5. Shanti Devi, Wif eof Satya Narayan Singh Daughter Haribansh Singh, resident of Village and Post- Khanaithi, P.S.- Kargahar District- Rohtas.
7. Dwarika Singh, Son of Harihar Singh, resident of Village and Post- Khanaithi, P.S.- Kargahar District- Rohtas.
8. Ayodhya Singh, Son of Harihar Singh, resident of Village and Post- Khanaithi, P.S.- Kargahar District- Rohtas.
9. Prayag Singh, Son of Harihar Singh, resident of Village and Post- Khanaithi, P.S.- Kargahar District- Rohtas. Presently residing at Village- Aari, Post- Aari Bazar, P.S.- Kondhiara, District- Allahabad (U.P.)
10. Nago Devi, Wif eof Satya Narayan Singh, Resident of Village- Rehari, Post- Barahari, P.S.- Kargahar, District- Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Subash Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Sanjay Kumar Pandey No.5

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

7 31-08-2023 Heard the parties.

2. The application has been filed for quashing the
order dated 31.07.2018 passed by learned Sub-Judge-VII,
Sasaram, Rohtas in Title Suit No. 526 of 2010 by which learned



Judge allowed the amendment application filed by the petitioners.

2. I have heard the submissions of the parties.

3. The amendment application which has been allowed by the learned Judge is *bona fide* and it does not change the nature of the suit. In these circumstances, there is no need of interfering in the matter.

4. The petitioners are given liberty to file their additional written statement and if required they may recall their witnesses or examine fresh additional witnesses.

5. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this application is dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Harsh/

U			
---	--	--	--

