

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1387 of 2023

Hari Narayan Paswan, Son of Kaiso Paswan, Resident of Village- Bari, Police Station- Singhiya, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Samastipur.
3. The Collector, Samastipur.
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Rosera, District- Samastipur.
5. The Block Development Officer, Singhiya, P.S.- Singhia, District- Samastipur.
6. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj, Bari, Police Station- Singhiya, District- Samastipur.
7. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj, Bari, Police Station- Singhiya, District- Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suman Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ajay, GA-5

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY)

Date : 14-07-2023

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The instant case has been filed by the petitioner, who claims himself to be a representative of the weaker section of the society, praying for a direction to the respondent authorities to enquire into the matter and take appropriate action against the *mukhiya* of Gram Panchayat Raj Bari under Singhiya Block in District- Samastipur. Further prayer is made for getting an



enquiry conducted into misappropriation of fund by the officers in completing the Government scheme under the 'Prime Minister Awas Yojna' ('the scheme' in short).

3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having gone through the material on record including the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent-Collector, Samastipur, the Sub-Divisional Officer, Rosera and the Block Development Officer, Singhiya, it transpires that so far as the list of beneficiaries under the scheme is concerned, the selection list is shown on the website through the Department of Rural Development. The BDO, Singhiya vide memo dated 27.1.2022 constituted a team of seven persons to enquire into the matter and on enquiry the Committee submitted a report over which the petitioner has also put his signature.

4. It has further been stated in the counter affidavit that there is dispute between the petitioner and the *mukhiya* concerned. Further the petitioner has not brought on record any material to substantiate his bald allegations of misappropriation of fund meant for the scheme by the authorities.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having gone through the contents of the counter affidavit according to which an enquiry was already conducted so far as



the list of beneficiaries under the scheme was concerned and steps have been taken by the respondents in the matter, the Court does not propose to pass any further orders in the case.

6. The writ application stands disposed of.

(K. Vinod Chandran, CJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Shiv/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	26.07.2023.
Transmission Date	N/A

