

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4900 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-87 Year-2019 Thana- MOTIHARI MUFASIL District- East
Champaran

SONU KUMAR S/o of Keshaw Kunwar Resident of Mohalla - Bara Tola,
Basatpur, P.S. - Mufassil, Town and District - East Champaran at Motihari.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Murari Narain Chaudhary
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.J.N. Thakur

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

6 11-01-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove
all the defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter within one month.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned
counsel for the informant and the learned APP for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Mufassil (Motihari) P.S. Case No. 87 of 2019, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 304 (B), 201/34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

The anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner was
rejected, vide order dated 20.11.2019 in Cr. Misc No. 59155 of 2019.
The petitioner is husband of the deceased. As per allegation, the
deceased was done to death within one year of her marriage in her
matrimonial house.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that



the leave of the petitioner was not considered on the earlier occasion by the co-ordinate Bench, but as a matter of fact, he has got papers from his Department showing that on the day of occurrence, he was on leave.

On the other hand, the learned counsel for the informant and the learned APP for the State have opposed the prayer for bail. They have submitted that on earlier occasion, the plea taken by the petitioner was considered and his anticipatory bail petition was rejected.

The anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner was rejected by this Court in the year 2019 and the present petition has been filed after two years, despite the fact that his anticipatory bail petition was rejected earlier. He did not surrender before the court below for two years. I do not find any reason for reconsideration of the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner. Accordingly, it is rejected.

Office shall ensure that all defects are removed by the petitioner within the stipulated time provided hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

kundan/-Nirmal

U		T	
---	--	---	--

