

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2334 of 2017**

=====

Parmila Devi wife of Sunil Bharti, Resident of- Aawas Board Ward No.- 4,  
P.S.- Muffasil Khagaria District- Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, Khagaria.
3. The Sub-Divisional Magistrate-cum-House Controller, Khagaria
4. The Circle Officer, Khagaria, District- Khagaria.
5. The Executive Magistrate, Khagaria.
6. Hakim Yadav, Son of Late Ayodhya Yadav, Resident of Chaman Tola Aawas Board, P.S. Muffasil Khagaria, District- Khagaria.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                      |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr.Dronacharya, Sr. Advocate         |
| For the State        | : | Mr.Kinkar Kumar-SC9                  |
|                      |   | Mr.Yogesh Kumar, AC to SC-9          |
|                      |   | Mr.Ashutosh Kr. Upadhyay, AC to SC-9 |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- 2      18-04-2023                      Though the present writ petition has been filed for implementing the order dated 16.07.2014, passed by Sub-Divisional Magistrate-cum-House Controller, Khagaria in case no.02 of 2010, whereby and whereunder the respondent no.6 has been directed to vacate the house in question, however, the respondents have filed a supplementary counter affidavit, which is taken on record, wherein it has been stated that now the aforesaid order dated 16.07.2014 has stood complied with, and after giving opportunity of hearing to the private respondent no.6, necessary steps have been taken, whereupon the Sub-



Divisional Magistrate-cum-House Controller, Khagaria had passed the final order dated 15.04.2023, and then a Magistrate was deputed to get the house in question vacated, pursuant whereupon the house of the petitioner has been vacated by the respondent no.6 on 16.04.2023.

The learned senior counsel, appearing for the petitioner, has not disputed the fact that the petitioner has now got back possession of the house in question on 17.04.2023, hence, it is submitted that the petitioner is not having any subsisting grievance at the moment, thus, the present writ petition may be disposed off.

According, the present writ petition stands disposed off as not pressed.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

kanchan/Saurav

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

