

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4378 of 2018**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8321 of 2017**

=====

Jai Ram Singh Son of Late Gajadhar Singh Resident of Village-Yoninia  
Kothi, Ganga Singh College Road, Salempur Chapra, P.O.-P.S. and District-  
Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through R. K. Mahajan, The Principal Secretary,  
Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. R.K. Mahajan, the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. Jai Prakash UNiversity, Chapra, through its Registrar, Karnal Madhurendra  
Kumar District-Chapra.
4. The Vice Chancellor, Jai Prakash University, Chapra, Dr. Prof. Harkesh  
Singh
5. The Registrar, Jai Prakash University, Chapra, namely Karnal Madhurendra  
Kumar
6. B.R.A Bihar University, Muzaffarpur through its Registrar, Karnal Ajay  
Kumar, District-Muzaffarpur.
7. The Registrar, Karnal Ajay Kumar B.R.A Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.
8. The Vice-Chancellor, Amrendra Narayan Yadav B.R.A Bihar University,  
Muzaffarpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shubh Narain Singh, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shashi Shekhar Tiwary, Advocate

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      23-06-2023                      Heard Mr. Shubh Narain Singh, learned Counsel for  
  
the petitioner and Mr. Shashi Shekhar Tiwary, learned Counsel  
  
for the State.

The present petition has been preferred for  
compliance of the order dated 18.05.2018 passed in CWJC No.  
8321 of 2017.



The order was very clear that the Court had taken into account the fact that all dues of the petitioner has been paid and further it was observed that if still has any grievance, he was given liberty to represent before the Jai Prakash University, Chapra.

According to him, he represented and according to the University, the petitioner was directed to submit the documents in prescribed format so that the same could be sent to pay verification cell constituted by the State.

It has been informed by the learned Counsel for the petitioner that subsequently, it has also been cleared by the pay verification cell.

In that view of the matter, it is expected that the payment if still not made will be cleared to the petitioner at an earliest.

The contempt petition stands disposed of.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Neha/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

