

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2252 of 2023

Prof. Rajiv Ranjan Kumar @ Rajiv Ranjan Kumar, aged about 38 years, Male
Son of Prof. Ram Naresh Singh Yadav @ Ram Naresh Singh, Resident of
Village/ Mohalla- Lalu Path, Kurthaul, Police Station- Parsa, District- Patna,
presently Principal, Rastriya Kisan College, Pauthu, Police Station- Pauthu,
District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Higher Education, Human Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Deputy Director, Higher Education, Human Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Chairman, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
5. The Director, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
6. The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
7. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
8. The Superintendent of Police, Aurangabad.
9. The District Education Officer, Aurangabad.
10. The District Programme Officer, Education, Aurangabad.
11. The Dy. S.P., Aurangabad.
12. Rajiv Ranjan Singh, Son of Ram Lakhan Singh, Village- Chicharhi, P.S.- Obra, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vipin Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Ms. Binita Singh, SC 28

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 17-02-2023

Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner claiming himself to be the Principal of
the college has preferred this writ petition being aggrieved of the



order dated 23.05.2022 whereby the grant has been stopped by the District Education Officer, Aurangabad.

3. Vide order dated 23.05.2022, the District Education Officer, Aurangabad observed that there is a dispute relating to the Principal of the college and on account of the same, the District Programme Officer, Aurangabad was appointed as an enquiry officer to conduct an enquiry. The order was passed in compliance of an order of the Director of the Bihar School Examination Board dated 30.09.2021.

4. This Court finds that the enquiry officer was appointed in May, 2022 and till date, no result has come of the said enquiry. In the garb of pendency of enquiry, grant which is required to be disbursed to the teachers of the college cannot be withheld for indefinite period.

5. Learned counsel for the State fairly states that the time period may be laid down for resolving the dispute.

6. Keeping in view above, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the District Education Officer, Aurangabad to direct the District Programme Officer, Aurangabad to submit his enquiry report within a period of 15 days and on the basis of such report after giving opportunity of hearing to the respective parties, decide the dispute within another one month. Upon deciding the



dispute, one way or the other, the grant shall be released and disbursed to the various teachers of the college under his own supervision.

7. The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

P. Kumar
Item No. 16

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	

