

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3934 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5257 of 2011

=====

Rajeshwar Prasad, Son of Late Daroga Prasad, resident of Village- Bankatwa,
P.O. Choubey Tola, P.S. Chanpatia, District- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department,
Govt. of Bihar, Patna, namely, Sri R.K.Mahajan.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Human Resources Development
Department, Government of Bihar, Patna, namely, Sri Arvind Kumar Verma.
3. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Saran Division, Saran at
Chhapra, namely, Dr. Priyanandan Prasad.
4. The District Education Officer, Siwan, namely, Sri Amerika Prasad.

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Chandra Shekhar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Parties : Mr. Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey, A.A.G. 15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the opposite parties.

2. The present contempt application has been filed on
behalf of the petitioner for initiation of a contempt proceeding
against the contemnors/opposite parties, particularly the
opposite party no. 2, for wilful and deliberate disobedience of
the order dated 05.02.2018, passed in C.W.J.C. No. 5257 of
2011.

3. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that the aforesaid order dated 05.02.2018, passed in



C.W.J.C. No. 5257 of 2011, has not been complied with by the opposite parties.

4. A show cause has been filed on behalf of the opposite party no. 2, The Director, Human Resources Development Department, Bihar, Patna, where it has been stated that vide Sanchika Sankhya-8/Mu.4-68/2016..166/Patna, dated 01.03.2023, annexed as Annexure A to the supplementary show cause, final order has already been passed.

5. Considering the fact that the order dated 05.02.2018, passed in C.W.J.C. No. 5257 of 2011, has already been complied with, no further order is required to be passed in the present case.

6. If the petitioner is aggrieved with the order dated 01.03.2023, he may challenge the same in a separate writ application.

7. With the aforesaid observation, the present contempt application stands disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

