

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1825 of 2017

- 1.) Sri Kant Singh, son of Sri Kedar Singh,
Resident of village and P.O. Sasamusa, P.S. Kuchaikote, District- Gopalganj,
presently Mukhiya of Gram Panchayat Raj, Sasamusa, Block- Kuchaikote,
District- Gopalganj.
- 2.) Ali Akbar ansari, son of Nabi Rasool Ansari,
Resident of village- Bhagwanpur, P.O. Bathua Bazar, P.S. Phulwaria, District-
Gopalganj, prsently Mukhiya of Gram Panchayat Raj, Gidaha, Block-
Phulwaria, District- Gopalganj.
- 3.) Mahatam Chaudhary, Son of Late Manbodh Chaudhary,
Resident of village- Pirara, P.O. Balesra, P.S. Uchakagaon, District-
Gopalganj, presently Mukhiya of Gram Panchayat Raj, Balesra, Block-
Uchkagaon, District- Gopalganj.
- 4.) Santosh Kumar Raja, son of Late Yogendra Baitha,
Resident of village and P.O. Sathi, P.S. Uchkagaon, District- Gopalganj,
presently Mukhiya of Gram Panchayat Raj, Trilokpur, Block- Uchkagaon,
District-Gopalganj.
- 5.) Gayatri Devi, wife of Sri Surendra Rai,
Resident of village- Patkhauli, P.O.- Bagahi Bazar, P.S.- Kateya, District-
Gopalganj, presently Mukhiya of Gram Panchayat Raj, Patkhauli, Block-
Kateya, District-Gopalganj.
- 6.) Saira Khatoon, wife of Asgar Ali,
Resident of village, P.O. and P.S. Bishwambharpur, District- Gopalganj,
presently Mukhiya of Gram Panchayat Raj, Matihana Kala, Block-
Kuchaikote, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1.) The State Of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar,
Patna.
- 2.) The Prinicpal Secretary,
Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
- 3.) The District Magistrate, Gopalganj
District- Gopalganj.



- 4.) The District Panchayat Raj Officer, Gopalganj,
District- Gopalganj.
- 5.) The District Planning Officer, Gopalganj,
District- Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashi Bhushan Kumar -Manglam
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Kameshwar Prasad Gupta-Gp10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-02-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by
efflux of time the writ application has become infructuous and
thus seeks permission to withdraw the case.

Permission is accorded.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

GauravSinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

