

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5869 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-113 Year-2022 Thana- PANDARAK District- Patna

SONU KUMAR @ DOMA Son of Keshav Yadav Resident of
Village/Mohalla- Rashula, P.S.- Pandarak, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 13622 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-113 Year-2022 Thana- PANDARAK District- Patna

PINTU KUMAR Son of Keshav Yadav @ Kesho Yadav Resident of Village -
Rasula (Bampur), P.S.- Pandarak, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 5869 of 2023)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Tej Narayan Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Narendra Kumar Singh

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 13622 of 2023)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bhim Sen Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uday Chand Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

4 04-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

The petitioners seek regular bail in connection with
Pandarak P.S. Case No.113 of 2022 registered for the offence
under Sections 341, 302, 379, 120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code
and Section 27 of Arms Act.

As per prosecution case, the informant alleged that
when his father went for ploughing the field, he was committed



murder by shot fire by F.I.R. named accused person in-connivance with other unknown persons.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Both the petitioners are not named in the FIR and they have falsely been implicated in this case. The name of the petitioners came into light on the basis of their phone location which was collected by the police. It is further submitted that the petitioner Pintu Kumar confessed his guilt and also stated the name of Sonu Kumar in his self confessional statement before the police, which has got no evidentiary value in the eye of law. There is no eye witness of the alleged occurrence. During course of investigation, no any consistent material has come to suggest the involvement of the petitioners in the alleged offence. It is further submitted that the petitioners are languishing in judicial custody since 15.8.2022.

Learned APP appearing for the state and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer of regular bail and submitted that informant's father was killed by firearm.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is



inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named petitioners are directed to be released on bail in connection with Pandarak P.S. Case No. 113 of 2022 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M., 1st Barh, Patna.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

