

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.119 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-258 Year-2019 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

Chandra Kant Jha S/O Shri Shivshankar Jha Resident of Village- Barail, P.S.-
Supaul Town, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The State Of Bihar Through The Director General Of Police, Bihar, Patna.
Bihar
3. The Superintendent Of Police, Supaul Bihar
4. The Deputy Superintendent Of Police, Supaul Bihar
5. The Sub-Inspector Cum Investigation Officer, P.S.- Supaul, District- Supaul.
Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mohit Shriwastava, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Iqbal Asif Niyazi, AC to GP-5

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 10-10-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to demonstrate from the order-sheets enclosed with the writ application that the informant had filed any protest petition and the same was not considered. If there was no protest petition and the informant did not take any step to proceed with the protest, this Court finds no reason to take an adverse view of the matter.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also realized his mistake in challenging the order dated 29.11.2021 which is in fact the summoning order against the accused and he had no



reason to be aggrieved by the said order.

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would withdraw this writ application.

5. Permission is granted.

6. This application is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--

