

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4443 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-107 Year-2021 Thana- CHHATAPUR District- Supaul

Sonu Yadav @ Rambhu Yadav Son of Satya Narayan Yadav Resident of Bela  
Ward No.07, P.S.- Basmatiya, District- Araria. ... .. Petitioner/s  
Versus

The State Of Bihar ... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                                                    |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Arun, Advocate<br>Ms. Kanika, Advocate                                         |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Binod Kumar, APP                                                               |
| For Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate<br>Mr. Jawed, Advocate<br>Mr. Arun Kumar Prasad, Advocate |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

6      27-03-2023                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to  
remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within  
a period of four weeks from today.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the  
offences punishable under Sections 363, 365, 366A/34 of the  
Indian Penal Code and Sections 8/17 of the POCSO Act.

According to prosecution case, the minor daughter of  
the informant has been kidnapped by the petitioner accused  
persons, in connivance with the prime accused Sonu Yadav and  
she was being trafficked to Nepal and in the meanwhile they  
were arrested by the Nepal Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated.

Vide order dated 13.02.2023 a report was called with regard to the present stage of the trial. Report dated 16.02.2023 reveals that out of six charge sheet witnesses, three prosecution witnesses including the informant and victim have already been examined.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

In view of the report of the learned Trial Court, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with POCSO Case No. 25 of 2021 arising out of Chhatapur P.S. Case No. 107 of 2021 pending in the court of learned Additional District & Sessions Judge-VI-cum Special Sessions Judge POCSO, Supaul.

Prayer is refused.

However, the learned Trial Court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial at the earliest.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

Vanisha/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

