

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.995 of 2023

Abhinav Kumar Son of Shri Anil Kumar Sinha, Resident of Flat No- 403,
Surya Samanpura Enclave, Mahalla- Samanpura, P.S.- Rukanpura, District-
Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Union Bank of India through its Chairman Managing Director, Union Bank Bhawan 239, Vidhan Bhavan Marg, Nariman Point, P.S.- Marin Drive, District- South Mumbai- 400021
2. Chairman/Managing Director, Union Bank of India, Union Bank Bhawan 239, Vidhan Bhavan Marg, Nariman Point, P.S.- Marin Drive, District- South Mumbai- 400021
3. General Manager, Human Resource Management Department Personnel Administrative Division Central Office, Union Bank of India 239, Vidhan Bhavan Marg, Nariman Point, P.S.- Marin Drive, District- South Mumbai- 400021
4. Deputy General Manager, Human Resource Management Department, Personnel Administrative Division Central Office, Union Bank Bhawan 239, Vidhan Bhavan Marg, Nariman Point, P.S.- Marin Drive, District- South Mumbai- 400021
5. General Manager, Field General Manager Office, Union Bank Bhawan 239, Vidhan Bhavan Marg, Nariman Point, P.S.- Marin Drive, District- South Mumbai- 400021
6. Deputy Manager, Field General Manager Office, Union Bank Bhawan 239, Vidhan Bhavan Marg, Nariman Point, P.S.- Marin Drive, District- South Mumbai- 400021
7. Assistant General Manager (HR), Union Bank of India, Regional Office, Pune, 6/7 Jeevan Prakash LIC Building, University Road, P.S.- Shivaji Nagar, District- Pune, Maharastra.
8. Senior Manager (HR), Union Bank of India, Regional Office, Pune 6/7 Jeevan Prakash LIC Building, University Road, P.S.- Shivaji Nagar, District- Pune, Maharastra.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prem Ranjan Raj, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Arun Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 26-06-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

None appears on behalf of Bank.



The prayer in the writ petition is for decision to regularise the petitioner. He claims to have worked as Customer Relationship Executive on contractual basis in-between April, 2012 to May, 2014.

There is no policy/norms/rules under which claim for regularisation on the basis contractual service as a Customer Relationship Executive can be claimed.

That apart, petitioner last served as Customer Relationship Executive in May, 2014, whereas the writ petition has been filed in the year 2023.

Having regard to above facts, no case is made out for issuance of any direction for regularisation of petitioner's service.

Writ petition is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

SUMIT/-

U			
---	--	--	--

