

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2709 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-910 Year-2022 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.
District- Gaya

-
1. SANJEET KUMAR PRASAD Son of Shatrudhan Prasad @ Shatrudhna Mahato R/v- Milki, P.S.- Dighwara, District- Saran
 2. BIRENDRA PRASAD YADAV Son of Alku Mahato @ Akalu Mahato R/v- Mundahari, P.S.- Bengabad, District- Giridih

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr. Kumar Uday Pratap

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 25-02-2023 Heard Ld. counsel for the petitioners and Ld. APP
for the State.

The petitioners seek bail in connection with Excise
P.S. Case No. 910 of 2022, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 30(a) and 56(b) of Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

As per allegation, 176.160 litres of liquor was
recovered from a car.

Ld. counsel for the petitioners submits that the
petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in
this case. He further submits that the petitioners are neither



the driver nor the owner of the vehicle and thus they were not aware of the content of the materials loaded in the vehicle. He also submits that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner.

He further submits that the petitioners have been languishing in jail since 15.10.2022.

It has also been stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner no. 1 has earlier been made accused in one other case and petitioner no. 2 has no criminal antecedents.

It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioners have not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one.

However, Ld. APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioners for bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this application is allowed**, directing the petitioners, above-named, to be enlarged on bail on their furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of



Ld. Exclusive Excise Court No. 3, Gaya in connection with Excise P.S. Case No. 910 of 2022, on the following conditions:

(i) The petitioners will make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.

(ii) The petitioners will undertake that investigation/trial will not hamper on account of their absence or non-cooperation. They must be available to the police or the court whenever their presence is required.

(iii) The petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iv) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioners have criminal antecedents other than the disclosed one, Ld. court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners after hearing them and getting satisfied that the petitioners have concealed their criminal



antecedents despite their knowledge of the same.

(v) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, Ld. court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

(vi) In case, the petitioners repeat offence of similar nature after enlargement on bail, their bail-bond will be cancelled by the court below.

Ld. counsel for the petitioners is directed to remove all the defects, if any, pointed out by the office within a period of one month and the Registry is directed to issue the certified copy of this order only after removal of office objections.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

ashishkr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

