

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3345 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-210 Year-2021 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

=====

Saurav @ Saheb @ Saurabh Kumar @ Saurav Kumar S/O Pradyuman Singh
R/V- Diwantok, P.S.- Gangabridge, District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravish Mishra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Kumar,APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 20-06-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
15.03.2022 in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 210 of
2021, F.I.R. dated 24.03.2021 registered for the offence
punishable under Section 395 of IPC.

The FIR of the occurrence of loot is against unknown.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits
that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present
case. Petitioner is not named in the FIR. The name of the
petitioner has been transpired during investigation on the basis
of the confessional statement of the co-accused person, namely,
Sarfaraj @ Prince. Further submits that nothing has been
recovered from conscious possession or the house of the



petitioner rather the recovery has been made from the house of co-accused, person, namely, Sarfaraj @ Prince. Further submits that till date no TIP has been conducted by the prosecution and the police, after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 15.03.2022.

Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner carries eight more cases other than the present one but fairly submits that out of eight cases, the petitioner is on bail in seven cases and rest one case is pending for consideration, as mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 210 of 2021, with the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.



(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

