

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL REVISION No.150 of 2017

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-1111 Thana- District-

=====

Ram Balak Mahto Son of Ram Kishun Mahto, Resident of Village- Gangoli,
P.S. Bibhutipur, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Mira Devi and Anr Wife of Ram Balak Mahto and Daughter of Ram Swarup Singh
2. Suman Kumar Son of Ram Balak Mahto and Meera Devi, Both Resident of Village- Saidpur, P.S.- Bibhutipur, District- Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jitendra Narain Sinha
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-02-2023 This criminal revision application has been filed against order dated 19.7.2016, passed by learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Samastipur in Maintenance Case No. 103/2014/614 of 2014 whereby and whereunder the learned Principal Judge has allowed the petition filed by opposite party no. 1 under Section 125 Cr.P.C. and directed the petitioner to pay Rs. 2,000/- per month to opposite party no.1, who is wife of petitioner, and Rs. 300/- per date fixed in the case as litigation cost.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is a poor tailor and he hardly earns to meet out his livelihood. He submits that without assessing the income



of the petitioner and considering any documentary evidence placed by petitioner, the impugned order has been passed.

I have carefully perused the impugned order. The monthly maintenance allowance of Rs. 3,000/- per month to wife of petitioner and Rs.300/- per date as litigation cost, in this age of high inflation, cannot be said to be on higher side or excessive.

I do not find any illegality or irregularity in the impugned order, which requires any interference by this Court.

Accordingly, this criminal revision application stands dismissed.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

