

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6045 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-99 Year-2001 Thana- SHERGHATI District- Gaya

=====

Ram Chandra Yadav Son Of Late Vigu Yadav R/O Vill.- Bijaiya Barahi, P.S.-
Barahi, Distt.- Hazaribag (Jharkhand)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prithivi Raj Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammed Arif

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

3 28-06-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with
Sherghati P.S. Case No. 99 of 2001 instituted for the offence
under Sections 341, 342, 323, 307, 364, 379, 353/34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

The present case was registered in year of 2001 and
this case has been committed to the court of sessions on
9.9.2002. At the time of commitment, the petitioner was present
before the lower court but after the commitment, the petitioner
has never been present before court of sessions. Thereafter, the
petitioner surrendered before the court on 14.2.2006 and he was
granted bail on 27.2.2006 with a direction that he shall not
repeat such mistake in future.



Again the petitioner remained absent due to which his bail bond was cancelled on 11.11.2016 and subsequently he was declared absconder on 27.2.2017.

There is a willful and malafide intention of the petitioner and due to his non-appearance, the trial has been hampered.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the petitioner is habitual absconder and he misused two times privilege of bail, this court is not inclined to enlarge him on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

The trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same as soon as possible.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Amandeep/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

