

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8248 of 2017

=====

Vinod Chandra Jha Son of Late Namo Narain Jha, Resident of Mohalla-
Hospital Road, Near Jaldhari Chowk, P.S.- Town Thana, Town and District-
Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary Revenue and Land Reforms
Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector cum District Magistrate, Madhubani.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Madhubani.
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Madhubani.
5. The Circle Officer, Raj Nagar, District- Madhubani.
6. The Officer-in-Charge, Raj Nagar Police Station, District- Madhubani.
7. Hari Narain Kamat, son of Dhrub Narain Kamat,
8. Uday Kamat, son of Lakhan Kamat,
9. Halkhori Kamat, son of Sube Kamat,
10. Dinesh Paswan, son of Fakir Paswan, Respondent no.7 to 10 are resident of
Mohalla- Laheriaganj, P.S.- Rajnagar, Anchal- Rajnagar, District-
Madhubani.
11. Arun Kamat, son of Late Moti Kamat,
12. Chandra Dev Kamat, son of Rameshwar Kamat,
13. Rajendra Kamat, son of Late Sunder Kamat,
14. Bhogi Kamat, son of Sundar Kamat,
15. Mukesh Kamat, son of Late Sube Kamat,
16. Raj Kumar Kamat, son of Halkhori Kamat,
17. Pitambar Kamat, son of Late Juri Lal Kamat,
18. Ghutan Kamat, son of Biltu Kamat,
19. Bauan Kamat, son of not known to the petitioner,
20. Raju Kamat, son of Mane Lal Kamat, respondent no. 11 to 20 are resident of
Village- Marar, P.S.- Rohika, District- Madhubani.
21. Dhrub Narain Karn, son of Late Bishwambhar Lal Karn, resident of New
Chakdah, P.S.- Raj Nagar, District- Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.
For the Respondent no.21: Mr.Pankaj Kr. Das, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH



ORAL ORDER

2 17-04-2023 The learned counsel for the respondent-State seeks to
file a counter affidavit, which is taken on record.

Despite repeated calls, nobody has bothered to appear
for the petitioner, hence, it appears that the petitioner has got no
interest in prosecuting the present writ petition, thus, this Court
has no option but to dismiss the present writ petition for want of
prosecution.

Accordingly, the present writ petition stands
dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

kanchan/Saurav

U			
---	--	--	--

