



\$~8 & 52

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ C.O. (COMM.IPD-TM) 134/2022

SANCHETI APPLICANCES PVT LTD. Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pankaj Kumar, Adv.

versus

C.LAL MARKETING PVT LTD. & ANR Respondents

Through: Mr. Harish Vaidyanathan
Shankar, CGSC with Mr. Srish Kumar
Mishra, Mr. Sagar Mehlawat, Mr. Alexander
Mathai Paikaday, Mr. M Sriram and Mr.
Krishnan V, Advs.

+ C.O. (COMM.IPD-TM) 361/2021

SANCHETI APPLIANCES PVT. LTD. Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pankaj Kumar, Adv.

versus

C. LAL MARKETTING PVT. LTD. AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Harish Vaidyanathan
Shankar, CGSC with Mr. Srish Kumar
Mishra, Mr. Sagar Mehlawat, Mr. Alexander
Mathai Paikaday, Mr. M Sriram and Mr.
Krishnan V, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

ORDER (O R A L)

%

11.10.2023

C.O. (COMM.IPD-TM) 134/2022 & C.O. (COMM.IPD-TM)
361/2021

1. Mr. Pankaj Kumar, learned Counsel for the petitioner submits

that the impugned marks of the respondents, forming subject matter of



challenge in these petitions preferred under Section 57 of the Trade Marks Act, 1999, have been removed from the Register of Trademarks on account of their not being renewed after they had expired.

2. As such, without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to institute fresh proceedings in case the marks are renewed, he seeks, for the present, to withdraw these petitions.

3. Reserving leave and liberty as aforesaid, these petitions are disposed of as withdrawn.

C.HARI SHANKAR, J

OCTOBER 11, 2023

ar