



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

% Judgment delivered on: 12th April, 2022

+ ARB.P. 1245/2021

BALAJI PRIME BUILDHOME PVT LTD Petitioner

versus

KASHIPUR INFRASTRUCTURE AND FREIGHT
TERMINAL PVT LTD Respondent

Advocates who appeared in this case:

For the Petitioner : Mr. Udit Seth, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. Gautam Dhamija, Advocate

CORAM:-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

JUDGMENT

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

1. Petitioner seeks reference of the disputes to an Arbitral Tribunal.

2. Learned counsel for the parties submit contended that there is one common contract between the parties, however with different work order. As per the agreement, the seat of Arbitration is at Delhi and even they have consented to the venue of the arbitration at Delhi.

ARB.P. 1245/2021

Page 1 of 2



3. Learned counsel for the parties pray that parties be referred to an Arbitral Tribunal to be constituted under the aegis of Delhi International Arbitration Centre and a Sole Arbitral Tribunal be constituted.

4. In view of the above, the disputes are referred to the Delhi International Arbitration Centre (DIAC), which would appoint an arbitrator to arbitrate the disputes. The arbitration shall take place under the aegis of the DIAC in accordance with its rules and regulations.

5. The arbitrator would be entitled to charge fees in accordance with the schedule of fee stipulated by the DIAC.

6. The arbitrator shall furnish the requisite disclosure under section 12 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 within one week of entering reference.

7. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

APRIL 12, 2022

‘rs’