



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of decision: September 13, 2022

+

W.P.(C) 13279/2022

VANTALA RAMANNA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. P. Sureshan, Advocate

versus

DIRECTOR GENERAL CISF & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Abhigyan Siddhant, GP
with Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Insp.
CISF
Mr. Prahlad Devenda, SI, CISF**CORAM:****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE**J U D G M E N T** (oral)**CM APPL. 40261/2022 & CM APPL. 40262/2022(for exemption)**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

W.P.(C) 13279/2022 & CM APPL. 40260/2022 (for seeking interim ex-parte order)

3. Present petition has been filed seeking directions to the respondents, DG CISF, New Delhi to post the petitioner at any CISF units of South Sector or within South Eastern Sector or Central Sector in terms of circular dated 25.09.2017. The petitioner further seeks direction to quash and set aside the orders dated 28.03.2022, 02.04.2022, 16.04.2022 and movement order dated 07.09.2022 wherein he has been transferred from the present



unit of IGI Airport New Delhi to 9th Reserve Battallion, Deoli, Rajasthan and to direct respondent no.1 to pass a speaking order against the representation dated 31.07.2022.

4. For the aforesaid relief, the petitioner made a representation dated 31.07.2022, however, till date, the said representation has not been decided.

5. Mr. Abhigyan Siddhant, learned Counsel appearing on advance notice and on instructions, submits that the representation of the petitioner shall be decided within three weeks from today.

6. Accordingly, we hereby dispose of the present petition by directing the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 31.07.2022 within the time sought and further to transmit the said decision to the petitioner within one week thereafter.

7. Till then, movement order dated 07.09.2022 shall remain stayed.

8. In view of above, petition is disposed of alongwith the pending application.

9. Needless to state that if the petitioner is still aggrieved by the decision of the respondents, he may challenge the same before the appropriate forum.

(SURESH KUMAR KAIT)
JUDGE

(SAURABH BANERJEE)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 13, 2022/rr