



\$~49

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Judgment delivered on: 12.09.2022

+ W.P.(C) 13192/2022

KAPIL NAGI

..... Petitioner

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

..... Respondents

Advocates who appeared in this case:

For the Petitioner: Ms. Saahila Lamba, Advocate

For the Respondent: Mr. D. Bhagat, CGSC with Mr. Rahul Kumar Sharma, Govt. Pleader for R-1/IOI
Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Mr. Manoj Joshi and Mr. Shubham Dwivedi, Advocates for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

JUDGMENT

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner contends that the Original Application filed by the Petitioner in the year 2016 has not been taken up for final disposal on account of heavy board position. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that subject examination was held in December, 2014 and in these proceedings one seat has been kept reserved for the Petitioner.



She submits that same is neither beneficial to the Petitioner as Petitioner is not getting the benefit of actual promotion and is also detrimental to the interest of the Organization because one seat is kept vacant. She submits that an early hearing application has been filed, before the Tribunal, which is listed on 30.09.2022. Learned counsel submits that early hearing application was shown in the advance list, however, the same was deleted before it could be taken up by the Tribunal.

2. Learned counsel for the Respondents submits that counter affidavits are already on record of the Tribunal.

3. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, we direct the Tribunal to consider the early hearing application filed by the Petitioner on 30.09.2022 and subject to the board position, proceedings be conducted expeditiously.

4. Petition is disposed of in above terms.

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

SEPTEMBER 12, 2022

yg