

\$~4

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

%

Date of decision: 19.10.2022

+

W.P.(C) 12905/2022

EX. HAV./DVR SURENDER PAL

..... Petitioner

**Through: Mr.U. Srivastava & Mr.M.K. Gaur,
Advs.**

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Vikrant N. Goyal, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. Vide the present petition, petitioner prays as under:

- i. *To direct the respondents to place the relevant records pertaining to present writ petition;*
- ii. *To quash and set aside the impugned order dated 08.10.2018 issued by respondent no.4 declining the request of the petitioner for Extra-Ordinary Pension with all consequential benefits namely the arrears with interest, etc. as admissible to the petitioner in accordance with the relevant rules and instructions on the subject;*
- iii. *To direct the respondent nos.2 & 3 to consider and finalize the request of the petitioner for release of consequential benefits accrued in favour of the petitioner in terms of the commendations notified in Group Routine Order No.258/90 within some stipulated period after declaring the inactions of the respondents.*

2. The records before us reveal that though the petitioner took voluntary retirement in the year 2001, he has filed the present petition seeking alleged

reliefs qua it only now. According to the petitioner, the first relief qua Extra-Ordinary Pension with all consequential benefits is based upon the fact that the petitioner was injured while in service. However, the petitioner has sought this relief without filing any supportive document exhibiting his medical condition around the period when he actually took voluntary retirement in the year 2001.

3. Similarly, according to the petitioner the second relief qua release of consequential benefits accrued in favour of the petitioner is based on the Group Routine Order No.258/90 dated 'NIL'. A perusal of the said document reveals that though the same is undated, it was issued for the limited period from 16.09.2019 till 30..... (illegible) and is pertaining to the '*Allotment of Government Accommodation*' and to the '*Permission for Withdrawal of Ration Money*'. Thus the same is nowhere related to the relief of consequential benefits sought by the petitioner and not pertaining to the said relief, is in fact, not relevant for it.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the petitioner has been unable to make out a case qua the grant of reliefs, either of extra-ordinary pension with all consequential benefits, and/or, of consequential benefits accrued in his favour.

5. Accordingly, in consideration of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

(SURESH KUMAR KAIT)
JUDGE

(SAURABH BANERJEE)
JUDGE

OCTOBER 19, 2022/ab

W.P.(C) 12905/2022

Page 2 of 2