



\$~29

\* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(C) 8614/2022

M/S WEARWELL (INDIA) PRIVATE LIMITED .....Petitioner  
Through: Mr. Alok Bhasin & Mr. Kamal Kant  
Tyagi, ..Advocates.  
versus

MR SHAMBHU PRASAD ..... Respondent  
Through: None.

%

*Date of Decision: 30th May, 2022*

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SHARMA**

### **J U D G M E N T**

**DINESH KUMAR SHARMA, J. (Oral)**

**CM APPL.25916/2022 (for exemption)**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Application is disposed of.

**WP(C) 8614/2022 & CM APPL.25915/2022 (for stay)**

1. The present petition has been filed against the order dated 07.08.2020, passed by the District & Sessions Judge, South-East, Saket Courts, New Delhi in RCA No.60/2019 in appeal under Section 17 of the Payment of Wages Act, 1936.
2. The impugned order dated 07.08.2020 had also been challenged in the W.P.(C) 3373/2021 titled *M/s. Wearell (India) Private Limited vs. Manoj Kumar* and WP(C) 3398/2021 titled *M/s. Wearwell (India) Private Limited vs. Arun Kumar*, WP(C) 2105/2022 titled *Wearwell (India) Private Limited v. Dharmender Kumar Tanti*, WP(C)



2034/2022 titled *Private Limited v. Mohd. Nizam*, W.P.(C) 2106 titled *Wearwell (India) Private Limited vs. Umesh Shah*, WP(C) 2184 titled *Wearwell (India) Private Limited vs. Raju* , WP(C) 2180/2022 titled *Wearwell (India) Private Limited vs. Praveen Kumar*, vide which the impugned order dated 07.08.2020 was set aside.

3. This Court vide order dated 21.03.2022 in W.P.(C) 3373/2021 titled *M/s. Wearwell (India) Private Limited vs. Manoj Kumar*, held as under-

*“4. For parity of reasons, this writ petitions are also allowed. The impugned orders dated August 7, 2020 are set aside.”*

4. In view of the above judgments, on reasons of parity, present writ petition is also allowed. The impugned order dated 07.08.2020 is set aside.
5. Accordingly, present petition stands disposed of.

**DINESH KUMAR SHARMA, J**

**MAY 30, 2022**  
**st**