

\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of decision: 11.11.2022

+ W.P.(C) 5491/2022

SAJAL DAS & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Asish Nischal, Adv. with Mr.Arun
Nischal & Mr.Rinku Shah, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Raj Kumar Yadav, Mr.Vedansh
Anand (GP), Mr.Rukhrar Jahan &
Ms.Preeti Sharma, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. Case of the petitioner is that Director, CRPF sent a communication dated 05.06.2020 to all concerned regarding Entry pay and re-fixation of direct recruittees and promotees be kept in abeyance since the issue is pending with the Ministry of Home Affairs. On 01.04.2022, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents sought time to obtain instructions as to within how much time the decision with regard to entry pay for promotees which is pending with the Ministry of Home Affairs would be taken. Thereafter matter was taken up on 04.05.2022, when further time to take instructions in compliance with order dated 01.04.2022 was sought.
2. Accordingly, vide order dated 04.05.2022, respondents were directed

to file an affidavit within four weeks with an advance copy to the other side, failing which the same would be accepted with cost of Rs.15,000/- to be paid in favour of Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee.

3. Pursuant to the said order, respondents have filed status report whereby it has been stated that no proposal regarding fixation of pay at par with entry pay of direct recruit is pending with Ministry of Home Affairs as on date. However, being a matter of re-fixation of pay and audit of the same, the Audit Party is also involved during the examination of pay and if found fit for the re-fixation/Audit & drawal action may also take considerable time for the process, therefore, it is prayed to grant sufficient time for compliance of exercise.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that since the issue is with regard to the para-military forces, therefore, it will take substantial time.

5. In view of aforesaid, we hereby dispose of the present petition by giving direction to the respondent no.1 to complete the exercise within four months from today by sitting together all the forces.

6. Needless to state that if the petitioner is still aggrieved by the decision to be taken by the respondents, he may challenge the same before the appropriate forum.

(SURESH KUMAR KAIT)
JUDGE

(SAURABH BANERJEE)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 11, 2022/ab

W.P.(C) 5491/2022

Page 2 of 2