

\$~31

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

NEUTRAL CITATION NO: 2022/DHC/000518



%

Date of decision: 09.02.2022

+

W.P.(C) 1669/2022 & CM APPL. 4803/2022

RR TEACHER TRAINING COLLEGE

..... Petitioner

Through Mr Sanjay Sharawat, Adv.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through Mr Jaideep Khanna, Adv. for Mr
Shivam Singh, Standing Counsel for
NCTE.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE TALWANT SINGH

[Court hearing convened *via* video-conferencing on account of COVID-19]

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J. (ORAL):-

1. Issue notice.
- 1.1. Mr Jaideep Khanna accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.
2. With the consent of the counsel for the parties, the writ petition is taken up for hearing and final disposal, at this stage itself.
3. The substantive prayers made in the writ petition read as follows :

"[a] Issue a writ of Certiorari and quash Regulation 5[3] of National Council for Teacher Education [Recognition Norms and Procedure] Regulations, 2014 to the extent it requires the Petitioner to submit No Objection Certificate [NOC] issued by the concerned Affiliating Body as the same is ultra vires the NCTE Act, 1993 and Article 14 and 19 of the Constitution of India; and

[b] Issue a writ of certiorari and quash the order dated 19.08.2016 passed by the Respondent No.2 and order dated 12.07.2018 passed by the Respondent No.1

[c] Issue a writ of mandamus and direct the Respondent No.2 to decide

the application of the Petitioner for grant of recognition for D.El.Ed course by taking into account the NOC dated 30.05.2019 issued by the Department of Elementary Education, Govt of Rajasthan;”



NEUTRAL CITATION NO: 2022/DHC/000518

4. Mr Sanjay Sharawat, who appears for the petitioner, says that in view of the fact that the petitioner was able to obtain a No-Objection Certificate (in short “NOC”) from the State Government of Rajasthan, the petitioner, for the moment, does not need to challenge Regulation 5[3] of National Council for Teacher Education [Recognition Norms and Procedure] Regulations, 2014. In other words, the relief sought in prayer clause [a] need not be pressed.

5. Furthermore, it is Mr Sharawat’s submission that, having regard to the fact that the petitioner has already obtained a NOC from the State Government of Rajasthan, its application for recognition can be processed by respondent no.2 i.e., Western Regional Committee, National Council for Teacher Education (NCTE), as per the extant rules.

5.1. In this context, our attention has been drawn to the document appended on page 47 of the case file. The said document is a copy of the NOC dated 30.05.2019, said to have been issued to the petitioner by the Office of the Department of Elementary Education, Rajasthan.

5.2. Mr Khanna says that, in view of the submission made by Mr Sherawat on behalf of the petitioner, respondent no.2 can re-examine the application of the petitioner and dispose of the same, in accordance with the established procedure.

6. Given the foregoing, the writ petition is disposed of with the following directions:

(i) The orders dated 19.08.2016, passed by Northern Regional Committee, NCTE, and the order in appeal dated 12.07.2018, passed by respondent no.1, whereby petitioner's application for recognition was rejected primarily on the ground that the petitioner did not have a NOC issued to it by the affiliating

body, shall stand quashed.

NEUTRAL CITATION NO: 2022/DHC/000518



(ii) Respondent no.2 will consider afresh the application for recognition available with it, in the light of the fact that the petitioner claims that it obtained a NOC from the State Government of Rajasthan on 30.05.2019.

(iii) A fresh order will be passed by respondent no.2 qua the petitioner's application for recognition, within twelve [12] weeks from the receipt of the copy of the order passed today.

(iv) Parties will act based on the digitally signed copy of this order.

7. Consequently, pending application shall also stand closed.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

TALWANT SINGH, J

FEBRUARY 9, 2022

rb

Click here to check corrigendum, if any