



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of decision: 21.01.2022+ **W.P.(C) 1345/2022****KAPIL GURJAR**

..... Petitioner

Through

Mr Ravi Kumar, Adv.

*versus***MINISTRY OF RAILWAY & ANR.**

..... Respondents

Through

Mr Jagjit Singh, Sr. Standing Counsel
with Mr Preet Singh and Mr Vipin
Chaudhary, Advs. for Railways.**CORAM:****HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER****HON'BLE MR JUSTICE TALWANT SINGH**[Court hearing convened *via* video-conferencing on account of COVID-19]**RAJIV SHAKDHER, J. (ORAL):-****CM APPL. 3896/2022**

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 1345/2022

2. The one and only grievance articulated in the instant writ petition is that the full bench as directed by the Tribunal via order dated 16.09.2021, passed in OA No.42/2020, has not been constituted.

3. Mr Ravi Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, says that the delay in constituting the full bench is causing detriment to the interest of the petitioner.

4. Issue notice.

4.1. Mr Jagjit Singh accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Mr Singh says that, in view of prayer made in the writ petition, it may be taken up for disposal, at this stage.

6. Having regard to the assertions made in the writ petition and the



prayer made therein, we request the Chairperson of the Central Administrative Tribunal [in short “the Tribunal”] to address the concern articulated by the petitioner, which is, that because the full bench has not been constituted, his interests are impacted.

7. The order passed by us today will be placed before the Chairperson of the Tribunal, for appropriate directions.

7.1. Mr Ravi will have the liberty to mention the matter before the Chairperson.

8. The writ petition is disposed of, in the aforesaid terms.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

TALWANT SINGH, J

JANUARY 21, 2022

rb

Click here to check corrigendum, if any