

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-A-95-2020

Date of Decision: 24.11.2022

Ram Gopal

..... Appellant

Versus

Suman

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Balkar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Saini, Advocate
for the respondent.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

The appellant thorough instant appeal is seeking setting aside of order dated 04.12.2019 whereby learned SDJM, Kharkhoda, has dismissed complaint of the petitioner on the sole ground of non-prosecution.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that lawyers were abstaining from work on 04.12.2019, thus, there was no representation on behalf of the complainant. On account of suspension of work, the complainant could not appear before the trial Court.

Learned counsel for the respondent does not dispute the fact that lawyers were abstaining from work on 04.12.2019.

In view of the fact that lawyers were abstaining from work, the impugned order deserves to be set aside and accordingly set aside. The complaint is ordered to be restored to its original number.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE**

24.11.2022

anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>