

Harsh Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

Ranbir Singh

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manbir Singh Batth, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-7, dated 24.10.2019, in CWP-PIL-210-2019.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-7 dated 24.10.2019, reveals that CWP-PIL-210-2019, was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide the representation filed by the petitioner regarding illegal axing of trees by one Kamaljit Singh, within three weeks.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that needful has been done by the respondent by deciding the representation filed by the petitioner though by rejecting the same vide order dated 31.07.2020 (copy of which is stated to have already been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner), therefore, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to challenge order dated 31.07.2020, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

14.09.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No