

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.214

CWP-32239-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 15.12.2022

Balwinder Singh Ghuman

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. A.G. Bishnoi, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vikrant Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No.2 to 4.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

On the previous date of hearing, it had been recorded that the writ petition has been rendered infructuous. By way of abundant caution and because learned counsel for the petitioner was not present, the case had been adjourned to today.

The situation remains the same as none appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

15.12.2022

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes/ No