

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**VATAP-80-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.07.2022**

M/s Maa Chintpurti Traders ... Appellant
versus
State of Punjab & others ... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN.**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Goyal, Advocate for the appellant.

Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Counsel at the very outset seeks withdrawal of the instant appeal filed under Section 68 of the Punjab Value Added Tax Act, 2005, directed against the order dated 10.09.2019 passed by the Punjab VAT Tribunal, Chandigarh. It is the contention that the impugned order passed by the Punjab VAT Tribunal would have to be assailed in terms of filing a writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Without making any observation as regards maintainability of a writ petition under Article 226, instant appeal is disposed of as withdrawn as per submissions advanced by counsel.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

**(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE**

13.07.2022
harjeet

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether Reportable? | Yes/No |