

[109] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.4354 of 2019

Date of Decision : 25.08.2022

Ramji ...Petitioner

versus

Rajesh Khullar and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Viren Nehra, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Dadwal, Asstt. AG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 19.10.2019, in CWP No.30326 of 2019 in case titled as '**Ramji** versus **State of Haryana and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1), reveals that while issuing of notice of motion in CWP No.30326 of 2019 for 14.02.2020 on 19.10.2019, interim stay of impugned order dated 20.09.2019 was ordered.

[3] Learned Asstt. AG, Haryana, contends that CWP No.30326 of 2019 having been dismissed vide order dated 09.10.2020, no action is called for against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner on the other hand contends that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not press the instant petition and the same may be disposed of as such, while granting liberty to the

petitioner to move an application for revival of the contempt petition in the eventuality of LPA No.359 of 2020 filed by the petitioner being allowed.

[5] The same is not objected to by the learned Asstt. AG, Haryana.

[6] Accordingly, in the light of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

25.08.2022

'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>