

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

232

**CRM-M-889-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.03.2022**

Baljit Singh
..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others
..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

(Through video conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Harbans Singh submits
that the petitioner has expired.

For this very reason, it appears that there is no representation for the
petitioner. Consequently, the petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

However, liberty shall be reserved for the legal representatives to file an
appropriate application within reasonable time for reviving this petition and substituting
themselves as legal representatives.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

09.03.2022
Jyoti-II

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>