

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

127

CR-8332-2019

Date of decision: 19.09.2022

GIAN CHAND

..Petitioner

Versus

SUNITA GOEL AND ORS.

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Jagram Singh Cooner, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The plaintiff has been permitted to incorporate the relief of possession in view of the assertions made by him that he has been dispossessed during the pendency of the suit.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the application was filed at a belated stage particularly when the evidence is being recorded.

Even in absence of the amendment, the Court can mould the relief if it is found that the plaintiff is dispossessed during the pendency of the suit.

Hence, the amendment which has been allowed is only formal in nature.

No ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 19th, 2022

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Ay

Whether speaking/reasoned

: *Yes/No*

Whether reportable

: *Yes/No*