

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

268

CWP-98-2020

Date of decision : 09.05.2022

Rajesh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Narayan Prasad Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nishad Ahuja, Advocate for
Mr. H.S. Oberoi, Advocate for respondent No.2/Union of India.

Mr. Viresh Dahiya, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate for respondent No.3.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 submits that all the petitioners have been adjusted and this petition has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the above, the grievance of the petitioners appears to have been substantially redressed. The petition stands disposed of as infructuous at this stage. If there is any subsisting grievance of the petitioners, they may send a representation to respondent No.3. In the event of such representation being made, the same shall be considered by respondent No.3 in accordance with law and a speaking order be passed within a period of four months from its receipt.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

May 09, 2022

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No