

CRM-M-55151-2019

Date of Decision: 01.09.2022

Mandeep Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr.Minderjeet Yadav, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court praying for grant of anticipatory bail in a case FIR No.171 dated 22.3.2019, registered under Sections 498-A, 323, 406, 506, 341 IPC, at Police Station Mode Town, District Rewari, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is residing in Germany at present. He submits that this Court vide order dated 1.9.2021 has granted interim protection to the petitioner, however, as this could not be disclosed that the petitioner is already in Germany, the interim order dated 1.9.2021 was vacated. He submits that parties have duly compromised and settled the dispute amicably and have filed a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, in which first motion statements have also been recorded through Special Power of Attorney of the petitioner. He submits that in all fairness, the petitioner wants to return to India and join the investigation and appear before the Court of competent jurisdiction for necessary proceedings. He submits that the petitioner would arrive to India on 20.11.2022 and would remain in India upto 10.12.2022. He submits that there is

apprehension of arrest of the petitioner, hence, petitioner be granted protection from arrest to enable him to appear before the Court of competent jurisdiction.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the abovesaid facts, it is directed that in case the petitioner returns to India by 20.11.2022 as has been submitted by learned counsel before this Court and appears before the Court of competent jurisdiction by way of filing an appropriate application, then he would have protection from arrest for 10 days from the date of his landing in India. The Court concerned would deal with the application filed by the petitioner in accordance with law.

The petition stands disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

01.09.2022

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No