

117 RA-CR-120-CII-2018 in CR-5035-2017
RA-CR-121-CII-2018 in CR-5034-2017

Bhuvneshwar Prasad vs. Hardeep Singh and others

Present: Mr. Sagar Aggarwal, Advocate
for the non-applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Tarunveer Vashisht, Advocate
for the review-applicant.

Mr. Baljinder Singh, Advocate
for respondent no.1 and 2.

**

On 07.11.2017, with the consent of the learned counsel
representing the parties, the following order was passed:-

*“By this order, two revision petitions i.e. CR Nos.5034 and 5035 of 2017
arising out between the same parties in the connected suits are being
disposed of.*

*“By this order, two revision petitions i.e. CR
Nos.5034 and 5035 of 2017 arising out between the same
parties in the connected suits are being disposed of.*

*The defendant is in revision petition against the
order by which leave to defend was granted. However,
defendant was directed to furnish security for the amount
claimed in the suit.*

*During the course of arguments, in the present
revision petitions, learned counsel for the plaintiff has
produced on file a copy of the jamabandi showing that
wife of the defendant-petitioner Mangni Devi i.e.
respondent No.3 is owner of certain property.*

*Counsel for the parties are agreed that instead of
furnishing security as ordered by the Court, the Court
may pass order restraining all the defendants from
disposing of their property.*

In view thereof, impugned order stands modified.

Revision petitions are disposed of.”

Sh. Tarunveer Vashisht, the learned counsel representing Sh.
Arjun son-in-law of Sh. Bhuvneshwar Prasad admits that he is not interested
in selling his property.

The suit is pending before the trial Court for recovery of the amount. This court has only restrained the defendants from alienating the property.

Hence, no further clarification is required to be made.

Disposed of accordingly.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

September 23, 2022

nt

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**